## EGG Intro to Syntax. PART 2 Handout 5: WH-MOVEMENT August 10, 2018

Movement Type 3. WH-movement 1) WH-words: where, why, when, which, what, who, how ... • What do WH-phrases replace? (constituents!) Provide the *categories* replaced by the following WH phrases: (there can be more than 1!) what when \_\_\_\_\_ where why \_\_\_\_\_ how many which \_\_\_\_\_ who(m)how • How do we know where they originate? • Where do they originate? • Where does the WH phrase move to? • Is WH-movement obligatory? 2) a. What did John say ? b. \*John said what? (cf. "John said WHAT?" = 'echo question') 3) a. Putin is chasing Yeltsin. b. Who is Putin chasing? 4) Putin is chasing... --Yeltsin --the alien invaders --who(m)--\*Ø 5) \*Putin is chasing. Putin is chasing grgrhgnfyhbb. B. Lebed is chasing **WHO**? ("echo question") 6) a. • In WH-questions, the WH-phrase *must* move to the beginning of the sentence. a. What are you reading ? 7) b Where do you live \_\_\_\_? c Why are you laughing \_\_\_\_? d Who did you know \_\_\_\_\_ at the party ? e When does the play begin ? • The answer to the question tells us where it moves from. Answers to (7): a. I am reading a book. (direct object) 8) b I live in Moscow. (PP modifier adjoined to V') c I am laughing for no reason / because John is funny. (PP or CP adjuncts) d I knew Mary and Bob at the party. (direct object) (PP modifier adjoined to V') e The play begins at 7:00 pm?

9) Boris Yeltsin is going to the new hospital tomorrow for an operation.

- 10) a. Where is Boris Yeltsin going <u>to morrow?</u>b. Why is Boris Yeltsin going to the new hospital <u>?</u>?
  - c. When is Boris Yeltsin going to the new hospital \_\_\_\_?
  - d. [Which hospital] is Boris Yeltsin going to \_\_\_?
  - e. Who \_\_\_\_\_ is going to the new hospital tomorrow?
  - f. **How** is Boris Yeltsin going to the new hospital tomorrow \_\_\_\_?

• Semantically, WH-phrases are understood as Quantifiers:

"Who left?" = For which X, X a human, did X leave "Where is the cake?" = For which X, X a place, is the cake in place X? etc.

## WH-questions move a WH phrase (XP) to SpecQP

WH-questions ALSO involve  $T^0 \rightarrow Q^0$  (like Y/N questions)

11) Schematic form of QP (often called CP) in questions and non-questions

a. YES/NO Question: b. Embedded (subordinate) clause:



12) Structure of a simple WH-question:



**Indirect Questions** (= "embedded" questions)

WH-movement in the subordinate/embedded clause: (= "indirect questions")

13) a. \*John wonders [that he left].

(wonder selects a [+WH] CP)

- b. John wonders [**why** he left \_\_]
- c. John knows [where Mary lives]
- d. John isn't sure [when the game begins]
- e. Yeltsin asked [when Gorby fell \_ ]

(13) involves WH-movement into SpecCP (Practice: draw trees for (13)b-e)

NB: there is no  $T^0 \rightarrow C^0$  in embedded questions (so no dummies!)

- 14) b. \*John wonders [why did he leave \_\_]
  - c. \*John knows [where does Mary live]
  - d. \*John isn't sure [when does the game begin]

15) Major WH-movement constraints (most from	n Ross 1967)
i. The Coordinate Subject Constraint	
ii. The Sentential Subject Constraint	
iii. The Left Branch Condition	
iv. the Complex NP/DP Constraint	
v. Superiority	
vi. The Constraint on Extraction Domains	
EXAMPLES	
Coordinate Structure Constraint: You can't move o	ut of a coordinate structure
16) a. They ordered [tiramisu and espresso].	
b. i. *Which dessert did they order [ an	d espresso ]? (*mvt out of coordinate structure)
ii. *Which beverage did they order [tiram	isu and]? (*mvt out of coordinate structure)
Sentential Subject Constraint: You can't move out	of a sentential (CP) subject
17) a. [That he has met Gorbachev] is extremely	unlikely. [] (CP) = "sentential subject"
b. *Who is [that he has met] extremely	•
Compare to: 18) a. I think [that he has met Gorbachev].	
b. <b>Who</b> do you think [ that he has met	_ ]? (✓ out of subordinate clause)
0. <b>Who</b> do you think [ <u></u> that he has het <u>_</u>	
Left Branch Condition: You can't move out of the l	eft side of an NP/DP
19) a. She bought [Jonathan's book].	
b. Whose did she buy [ book]?	(*mvt from left edge of DP)
c. *Which did she buy [ book] ?	(*mvt from left edge of DP)
Compare to:	
20) a. Whose book did she buy ?	(✓ movement of direct object)
b. Which book did she buy?	( ✓ movement of direct object)
<u>Complex NP/DP Constraint</u> : You can't move out of	
21) a. Putin is proposing [a law which will hur	
b. <b>*Who</b> is Putin is proposing [a law which will hur	-
22) a. They caught [a man who stole 5 comput	ers] (*mvt out of complex NP)
b. *What did they catch [a man who stole	]? (*mvt out of complex NP)
Superiority If two elements are competing for a mo	we, you have to move the closer one
• Multiple WH-movement: (1 WH moves, the other	r does not)
23) a <b>Who</b> works <b>where</b> ?	
b Who said what?	
c What fell off the shelf when?	
24) Superiority violations: (*non-closest move)	
a. *Where does who work?	(compare: ✓ Where does John work?)
b. * <b>What</b> did <b>who</b> say?	(compare: ✓ What did John say?)
c. *When did what fall off the shelf?	(compare: $\checkmark$ When did the cup fall off the shelf?)

25) a. I asked **who** saw **what** 

b. \*I asked what who saw \_\_\_\_. (\*non-closest move)

Constraint on Extraction Domains: You can't move out from inside subjects or adjuncts

	• <u>Subjects</u> :	
26)	a. I bought [a book about Dostoevsky] yesterday. b. [A book about Dostoevsky] shocked the public.	[] = direct object [] = subject
27)	<ul> <li>a. Who did you buy [a book about] yesterday?</li> <li>b. *Who did [a book about] shock the public?</li> </ul>	<ul><li>(✓ movement out of an object)</li><li>(* movement out of a subject)</li></ul>
	• <u>Adjuncts</u> :	
28)	<ul> <li>a. I bought a book [before I saw John].</li> <li>b. *Who did you buy a book [before you saw] ?</li> </ul>	(*movement out of an adjunct)

## **Overt vs Covert WH-movement**

29) a. C has a [*u*WH\*] feature which checks the [WH] on the WH-phrase and forces movementb. In English, [*u*WH] on C is strong = "Overt WH-movement language"

c. In Chinese, Japanese, ... [*u*WH] on C is weak. = "WH *in situ* language"

30) a.	Ni you "Where	shuo say did yo		Zhangsam Zhangsan Zhangsan wen	qule went nt?"	nali where	(-ne) Q-part	(Chinese)
b.	* <b>Nali<sub>i</sub> where</b> "Where	e you	say	Zhangsam Zhangsan Zhangsan we	qule went ent?"	i (-ne) Q-part	(Cole & Her	(Chinese) rmon 1994)

## Long-distance WH-movement

31)	a.	Lebed hates Gorby.	
	b.	<b>Who</b> <sub>i</sub> does Lebed hate $\mathbf{t}_i$ ?	(WH-movement into SpecCP)

- 32) a. Yeltsin thinks that [Lebed hates Gorby ]
  b. Who<sub>i</sub> does Yeltsin thinks that [Lebed hates t<sub>i</sub> ] ?
- 33) a. Lebed thinks that Gorby said that Yeltsin is working hard on [the new laws].
  b. What<sub>i</sub> does Lebed think that Gorby said that Yeltsin is working hard on t<sub>i</sub>?
- 34) Why do you [ [ $_{VP}$  think [that John [ [ $_{VP}$  left \_] <why> ] ] ] <why>]? ambiguous

35) Two theories of Long Distance WH-Movement:a. One long jumpb. Several small steps, stopping at every intermediate SpecCP (= "Cyclic Movement")

36) A WH-expression cannot skip a specifier of CP when it moves (Adger, p. 363)

- Evidence that WH-movement in fact works in small steps: (see Adger ch. 9)
- 37) Scottish Gaelic:
  - a. Bha mi ag ràdh gun do bhuaíl í e
     Was I ASP saying that prt struck she him
     "I was saying that she hit him."

<ul> <li>b. Cò bha thu ag ràdh a bhuail í</li> <li>who were you ASP saying that struck she</li> <li>"Who were you saying that she struck?"</li> </ul>
38) Scottish Gaelic complementizers:
a. <b>gun</b> [C] b. <b>a</b> [C], [ <i>u</i> WH*]
• How do we <b>test</b> this hypothesis? We check its <b>predictions</b> !
I. The Small Steps hypothesis predicts that WH-movement out of an embedded clause uses local SpecCP for a stopping place.
<ul> <li>39) a. I think that John bought <u>a book</u> →</li> <li>b. What do you think that John bought ? (LD WH-mvt)</li> </ul>
II. So if we fill local SpecCP with embedded WH-mvt (like after wonder [CP-Q]
40) I wonder [ <b>when</b> John bought <u>a book</u> ] (embedded WH-mvt)
III. then when we try to do WH-movement to the main clause, out of (40), it should fail:
41) *What do you wonder [when John bought] (LD AND embedded WH-mvt)
• If WH-movement were in one fell swoop, (41) would be fine.
This is a WH-island!
A similar test: We also predict loss of ambiguity inside islands:

A similar test: We also predict loss of ambiguity inside islands:

42)	Q:	$Why_i$ does	Yeltsin [VP	think [that	Gorby [vp fell]	_]]]?	(ambiguous)
-----	----	--------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	-------	-------------

43) A: a. Yeltsin [thinks that Gorby fell [because he read about Gorby's fall in the paper] ]b. Yeltsin thinks that Gorby [fell [because of political pressures] ].

44) a. Yeltsin said [ \_\_\_\_ that [ Gorby hurt Lebed ] ]
b. Who<sub>i</sub> did<sub>k</sub> Yeltsin t<sub>k</sub> say [ t<sub>i</sub> that [ Gorby hurt t<sub>i</sub> ] ] (movement is OK)

b. Who<sub>1</sub> did<sub>k</sub> 1 ensin  $t_k$  say  $[t_1$  that [Gorby hurt  $t_1$ ] (movement is OK)

• If SpecCP is already filled, we have an **island** and predict that WH-movement will violate (36):

45) a. Yeltsin wonders [ whether Gorby fell [because of political pressures] ]
b. \*Whyk does Yeltsin [vp wonder [ whether Gorby [vp fell tk ] ] ]?

• (45)b is ungrammatical on the interpretation where *why* modifies the lower verb (=(45)a) (It can only be of the type of (43)a). In (45)b, **whether** fills SpecCP, so no intermediate stopping place is available, and we have a wh-island violation. Movement must happen in one jump, and (36) is violated

advanced topics:
wh-movement and binding
wh-movemnt and reconstruction
sidewards movement
copy theory of movement
multiple wh-movement
wh in situ language types (covert? Unsleecvted binding)
weak crossover
superiority